

Application No. 09/064,057 Applicant(s)

Gerard et al.

| Interview Summary | 33/33 1/231 | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| | Examiner Maryam Monshi | pouri | Group Art Unit 1652 | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | | · |
| (1) Maryam Monshipouri | (3) Mr. R. Esmoi | nd | | |
| (2) Primary Ex. Prouty | (4) | <u>.</u> | <u> </u> | |
| Date of Interview Dec 10, 2001 | _ | | | |
| Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☒ applicant | 2) applicant's rep | oresentativ | e] | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes | e) 🛛 No. If yes, brid | ef descript | ion: | · . |
| Claim(s) discussed: 26, 28, 33, 34, 37, 39, 40, and 117- Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments: Double patenting issues directed to above mentioned claim claimed invention. | d. g) Was not read all nature of what was answere discussed. Mr. double patenting issue | ched. h) agreed to Esmond if | N/A. If an agreement Indicated that Solution | was reached, or Itis aper is and the |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amerallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepunder of the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORI INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Malready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FESUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Recommendations. | arate record of the sub MAL WRITTEN REPLY PEP section 713.04). | ostance of TO THE L If a reply DATE TO | the interview (if AST OFFICE AC to the last Office FILE A STATEN | box is checked). TION MUST e action has IENT OF THE |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.